

Estimates of functions with vanishing periodizations ^{*}

Oleg Kovrizhkin [†]

MIT, 2-273, Dept of Math
77 Mass Ave
Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
E-mail address: oleg@math.mit.edu

Abstract

We prove that if a function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has vanishing periodizations then $\|f\|_{p'} \lesssim \|f\|_p$, provided $1 \leq p < \frac{2d}{d+2}$ and dimension $d \geq 3$.

1 Introduction

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Define a family of its periodizations with respect to a rotated integer lattice:

$$g_\rho(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(\rho(x - \nu)) \quad (1)$$

for all rotations $\rho \in SO(d)$. We have a trivial estimate $\|g_\rho\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$ and $\hat{g}_\rho(m) = \hat{f}(\rho m)$ where $m = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. The author has shown recently that g_ρ is in $L^2([0, 1]^d \times SO(d))$ if and only if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, provided the dimension $d \geq 5$. The requirement $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be replaced by $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for a certain range of p , see for details ([6]), ([7]).

The main object of our research will be functions f whose periodizations g_ρ identically vanish for a.e. rotations $\rho \in SO(d)$. It is equivalent to the statement that $\hat{f}$ vanishes on all spheres of radius $|m| = (m_1^2 + \dots + m_d^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Such functions are closely related to the Steinhaus tiling set

^{*}1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 42B99.

Key words and phrases. Periodizations.

[†]This research was partially conducted by the author for the Clay Mathematics Institute and partially supported by NSF grant DMS 97-29992

problem ([4]), ([5]): does there exist a (measurable) set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that every rotation and translation of E contains exactly one integer lattice point? M. Kolountzakis ([4]) showed that if $f \in L^1$ and $|x|^\alpha f(x) \in L^1$ for a certain $\alpha > 0$ and f has constant periodizations then $\hat{f} \in L^1$ when dimension $d = 2$. M. Kolountzakis and T. Wolff ([5], Theorem 1) proved that if periodizations of a function from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are constants then the function is continuous and, in fact, bounded, provided that the dimension d is at least three. We will generalize the last result for functions f in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

Theorem 1 *Let $d \geq 3$ and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 \leq p < \frac{2d}{d+2}$, has identically vanishing periodizations then $f \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$:*

$$\|f\|_{p'} \leq C\|f\|_p$$

where C depends only on d and p .

The main reason why the dimension $d \geq 3$ comes from the famous Lagrange theorem saying that every positive integer can be represented as sums of four squares and actually from the fact that every integer of form $8k + 1$ can be written as sums of three squares. Since relatively few integers can be represented as sums of two squares, we will show in **Section 3** that the result of M. Kolountzakis and T. Wolff doesn't hold if $d = 2$ and that is why there is no theorem for $d = 2$. Another reason why the dimension $d \geq 3$ is because we consider the family of periodizations with respect to the $SO(d)$ group of rotations. It leads to estimates involving the decay of spherical harmonics. The rate of decay for $d = 2$ is not fast enough although it is almost fast enough. That is why for $d = 2$ the range of p in the theorem becomes empty: $1 \leq p < 1$.

Remark 1 *There is no essential difference between the case of identically vanishing periodizations and the case of g_ρ being trigonometric polynomials of uniformly bounded degrees for all $\rho \in SO(d)$.*

Corollary 1 *If $p \leq r \leq p'$ then under the conditions of Theorem 1*

$$\|f\|_r \leq C\|f\|_p$$

where C depends only on d and p .

We will show in **Section 3** that this range of r is sharp.

We will use the notation $x \lesssim y$ meaning $x \leq Cy$, and $x \sim y$ meaning that $x \lesssim y$ and $y \lesssim x$ for some constant $C > 0$ independent from x and y .

2 Proof of the theorem

Define the following functions $h, h_1, h_2 : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$h(y, t) = \int \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i2\pi y \cdot \xi} d\sigma_t(\xi) \quad (2)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \widehat{d\sigma_t}(y - x) dx \quad (3)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y - x) \widehat{d\sigma_t}(x) dx, \quad (4)$$

$$h_1(y, t) = \int_{|x| \leq 1} f(y - x) \widehat{d\sigma_t}(x) dx, \quad (5)$$

$$h_2(y, t) = \int_{|x| > 1} f(y - x) \widehat{d\sigma_t}(x) dx \quad (6)$$

where $d\sigma_t$ is the Lebesgue surface measure on a sphere of radius t . Clearly, $h = h_1 + h_2$. To proceed further we will need certain technical estimates associated with h_1 and h_2 proven in two lemmas below. The proof of the theorem itself starts after **Remark 2** to **Lemma 2**. The Fourier transforms in these two lemmas below are taken with respect to variable t , except in the second part of the proof of **Lemma 2**. $L^{p'}$ norms are taken over variable y . We will apply some technique from M. Kolountzakis and T. Wolff ([5]) and O. Kovrijkine ([6], [7]).

Lemma 1 *Let $q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Schwartz function supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$, let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and let $b \in [0, 1)$. Define $H_{1,N} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$*

$$H_{1,N}(y, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+b}} h_1(y, \sqrt{t+b}) q\left(\frac{\sqrt{t+b}}{N}\right).$$

Then

$$\sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{\nu \neq 0} \|\hat{H}_{1,2^l}(y, \nu)\|_{p'} \leq C \|f\|_p \quad (7)$$

where C depends only on q and d .

Proof of Lemma 1:

It will be enough to show that

$$\sum_{\nu \neq 0} \|\hat{H}_{1,N}(y, \nu)\|_{p'} \leq \frac{C \|f\|_p}{N}. \quad (8)$$

We have

$$|\hat{H}_{1,N}(y, \nu)| \leq \frac{C}{|\nu|^k} \int \left| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} H_{1,N}(y, t) \right| dt \quad (9)$$

for $\nu \neq 0$. Applying Minkowski's inequality to (9) we have

$$\|\hat{H}_{1,N}(y, \nu)\|_{p'} \leq \frac{C}{|\nu|^k} \int \left\| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} H_{1,N}(y, t) \right\|_{L^{p'}(dy)} dt. \quad (10)$$

We need to estimate the integrand on the right side of (10). To do so we will first estimate the $L^{p'}$ norm of derivatives of $h_1(y, t)$ when $t \geq 1$:

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} h_1(y, t) \right\|_{p'} \lesssim t^{d-1} \|f\|_p \quad (11)$$

with an implicit constant depending only on k and d . In order to obtain (11), rewrite the definition of h_1 (5) in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(y, t) &= \int_{|x| \leq 1} f(y-x) \widehat{d\sigma_t}(x) dx \\ &= t^{d-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y-x) \cdot \chi_{\{|x| \leq 1\}} \int_{|\xi|=1} e^{-i2\pi t x \cdot \xi} d\sigma(\xi) dx, \end{aligned}$$

differentiate the last equality k times and apply Young's inequality.

We can easily prove by induction that

$$\frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left(\frac{h_1(\sqrt{t+b})}{\sqrt{t+b}} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^k C_{i,k} \frac{h_1^{(i)}(\sqrt{t+b})}{(\sqrt{t+b})^{2k+1-i}}. \quad (12)$$

Combining (12) and (11) we obtain for $t \sim N^2$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} \left(\frac{h_1(y, \sqrt{t+b})}{\sqrt{t+b}} \right) \right\|_{p'} \leq C N^{d-k-2} \|f\|_p \quad (13)$$

with C depending only on k and d .

Since $q(\frac{(\sqrt{t+b})}{N}) = q(\sqrt{t'+b'}) = \tilde{q}(t')$ with $t' = \frac{t}{N^2}$ and $b' = \frac{b}{N^2}$ and $\tilde{q}(t')$ is a Schwartz function supported in $t' \sim 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d^k}{dt^k} q\left(\frac{(\sqrt{t+b})}{N}\right) \right| &= N^{-2k} \left| \frac{d^k}{dt'^k} \tilde{q}(t') \right| \\ &\leq C N^{-2k} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

with C depending only on k and q .

$q(\frac{\sqrt{t+b}}{N})$ is supported in $t \sim N^2$ hence we obtain from (13) and (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} H_{1,N}(y, t) \right\|_{p'} &= \left\| \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left(\frac{h_1(y, \sqrt{t+b})}{\sqrt{t+b}} q\left(\frac{\sqrt{t+b}}{N}\right) \right) \right\|_{p'} \\ &\leq CN^{d-2-k} \|f\|_p \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with C depending only on k , d and q . Since $H_{1,N}(y, t)$ is also supported in $t \sim N^2$ we have

$$\int \left\| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} H_{1,N}(y, t) \right\|_{L^{p'}(dy)} dt \leq CN^{d-k} \|f\|_p.$$

Substituting the above estimate to (10) we obtain

$$\|\hat{H}_{1,N}(y, \nu)\|_{p'} \leq \frac{CN^{d-k} \|f\|_p}{|\nu|^k} \quad (16)$$

for every $\nu \neq 0$.

Summing (16) over all $\nu \neq 0$ and putting $k = d + 1$ we get our desired result

$$\sum_{\nu \neq 0} \|\hat{H}_{1,N}(y, \nu)\|_{p'} \leq \frac{C \|f\|_p}{N}.$$

where C depends only on q and d . Sum over dyadic N to obtain the statement of the lemma. $\square$

The next lemma will be proven in the spirit of the Stein-Tomas restriction theorem ([1], p.104).

Lemma 2 *Let $q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Schwartz function supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$, let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where $1 \leq p < \frac{2d}{d+2}$ and let $b \in [0, 1)$. Define $H_{2,N} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$*

$$H_{2,N}(y, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+b}} h_2(y, \sqrt{t+b}) q\left(\frac{\sqrt{t+b}}{N}\right).$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{\nu \neq 0} \left\| \sum_{l \geq 0} \hat{H}_{2,2^l}(y, \nu) \right\|_{p'} \leq C \|f\|_p \quad (17)$$

with C depending only on p , q and d .

Proof of Lemma 2:

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{H}_{2,N}(y, \nu) \\
&= \int H_{2,N}(y, t) e^{-i2\pi\nu t} dt \\
&= 2e^{i2\pi\nu b} \int Nq(t) h_2(y, tN) e^{-i2\pi\nu(Nt)^2} dt \\
&= 2e^{i2\pi\nu b} \int Nq(t) e^{-i2\pi\nu(Nt)^2} \int_{|x|>1} f(y-x) \widehat{d\sigma_{Nt}}(x) dx dt \\
&= 2e^{i2\pi\nu b} \int_{|x|>1} f(y-x) \int Nq(t) e^{-i2\pi\nu(Nt)^2} (Nt)^{d-1} \widehat{d\sigma}(Ntx) dt dx \\
&= (D_{N,\nu} * f)(y)
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where

$$D_{N,\nu}(x) = 2e^{i2\pi\nu b} \chi_{\{|x|>1\}} \int Nq(t) e^{-i2\pi\nu(Nt)^2} (Nt)^{d-1} \widehat{d\sigma}(Ntx) dt. \tag{19}$$

Denote by

$$K_\nu(x) = \sum_{l \geq 0} D_{2^l, \nu}(x). \tag{20}$$

We need to estimate

$$\left\| \sum_{l \geq 0} \hat{H}_{2,2^l}(y, \nu) \right\|_{p'} = \|K_\nu * f\|_{p'}.$$

If $p' = \infty$ or $p' = 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|K_\nu * f\|_\infty &\leq \|K_\nu\|_\infty \|f\|_1 \\
\|K_\nu * f\|_2 &\leq \|\hat{K}_\nu\|_\infty \|f\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

First we will show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|K_\nu\|_\infty &\leq \left\| \sum_{l \geq 0} |D_{2^l, \nu}|(x) \right\|_\infty \\
&\leq C |\nu|^{-\frac{d}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

To do so we need to estimate $D_{N,\nu}$.

We will use a well-known fact that $\widehat{d\sigma}(x) = \text{Re}(B(|x|))$ with $B(r) = a(r)e^{i2\pi r}$ and $a(r)$ satisfying estimates

$$|a^k(r)| \leq \frac{C}{r^{\frac{d-1}{2}+k}} \tag{22}$$

with C depending only on k and d . Now we will estimate the integral in (19) with $B(|x|)$ instead of $\widehat{d\sigma}(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int Nq(t)e^{-i2\pi\nu(Nt)^2}(Nt)^{d-1}a(N|x|t)e^{i2\pi N|x|t}dt \\
&= \frac{N^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \int q(t)e^{-i2\pi\nu(Nt)^2}t^{d-1}a(N|x|t)(N|x|)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}e^{i2\pi N|x|t}dt \\
&= \frac{N^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}e^{i2\pi\frac{|x|^2}{4\nu}} \int q(t)a(N|x|t)(N|x|)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}t^{d-1}e^{-i2\pi\nu N^2(t-\frac{|x|}{2\nu N})^2}dt \\
&= \frac{N^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}e^{i2\pi\frac{|x|^2}{4\nu}} \int \phi(t, |x|)e^{-i2\pi\nu N^2(t-\frac{|x|}{2\nu N})^2}dt \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi(t, |x|) = q(t)a(N|x|t)(N|x|)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}t^{d-1}$ is a Schwartz function with respect to variable t supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ which is bounded, together with each derivative uniformly in t , $|x| \geq 1$ and N because of (22). Note that we used here the fact that $N|x| \geq 1$. We can say even more. Let $|x| = c \cdot r$ where $c \geq 2$ and $r \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Then all partial derivatives of $\phi(t, c \cdot r)$ with respect to t and r are also bounded uniformly in t , r , c and N . Hence $\phi(t, c \cdot t)$ is a Schwartz function supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ which is bounded, together with each derivative uniformly in t , c and N . We will use this fact later to estimate $\hat{K}_\nu$.

Fix some $|x| \geq 1$. In the calculations below we will write just $\phi(t)$ instead of $\phi(t, |x|)$ for simplicity. From the method of stationary phase ([3], Theorem 7.7.3) it follows that if $k \geq 1$ then

$$\left| \int \phi(t)e^{-i2\pi\nu N^2(t-\frac{|x|}{2\nu N})^2}dt - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_j(\nu N^2)^{-j-\frac{1}{2}}\phi^{(2j)}\left(\frac{|x|}{2\nu N}\right) \right| \leq c_k(|\nu|N^2)^{-k-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{24}$$

where c_j are some constants.

Since ϕ is supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ we conclude from (24) that

$$\left| \int \phi(t)e^{-i2\pi\nu N^2(t-\frac{|x|}{2\nu N})^2}dt \right| \leq \begin{cases} C(|\nu|N^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } N \in [\frac{|x|}{4\nu}, \frac{|x|}{\nu}] \\ C_k(|\nu|N^2)^{-k-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } N \notin [\frac{|x|}{4\nu}, \frac{|x|}{\nu}] \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

Replacing in (19) $\widehat{d\sigma}(x)$ with $\frac{B(|x|)+\bar{B}(|x|)}{2}$ it follows from (25) that

$$|D_{N,\nu}(x)| \leq \frac{N^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \begin{cases} C(|\nu|N^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } N \in [\frac{|x|}{4|\nu|}, \frac{|x|}{|\nu|}] \\ C_k(|\nu|N^2)^{-k-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } N \notin [\frac{|x|}{4|\nu|}, \frac{|x|}{|\nu|}] \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

The number of dyadic $N \in [\frac{|x|}{4\nu}, \frac{|x|}{\nu}]$ is at most 3. Therefore choosing $k \geq \frac{d-1}{2}$

and summing (26) over all dyadic N we have

$$|K_\nu(x)| \leq \sum_{l \geq 0} |D_{2^l, \nu}(x)| \leq C|\nu|^{-\frac{d}{2}}$$

with C depending only on d and q . Thus we proved (21).

Now we will show that

$$\|\hat{K}_\nu\|_\infty \leq \left\| \sum_{l \geq 0} |\hat{D}_{2^l, \nu}|(y) \right\|_\infty \leq C. \quad (27)$$

Since $\text{supp } \phi \in [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ we can re-write (24) for a stronger version of the method of stationary phase ([3], Theorems 7.6.4, 7.6.5, 7.7.3)

$$\left| \int \phi(t) e^{-i2\pi\nu N^2(t - \frac{|x|}{2\nu N})^2} dt - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_j (\nu N^2)^{-j-\frac{1}{2}} \phi^{(2j)}\left(\frac{|x|}{2\nu N}\right) \right| \leq \frac{c_k (|\nu| N^2)^{-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\max(1, \frac{|x|}{8N|\nu|})^k}$$

where c_j are some constants. Therefore, if $\nu > 0$,

$$D_{N, \nu}(x) = \chi_{\{|x| > 1\}} \frac{N^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} e^{i2\pi \frac{|x|^2}{4\nu}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_j (\nu N^2)^{-j-\frac{1}{2}} \phi^{(2j)}\left(\frac{|x|}{2\nu N}\right) + \phi_k(x) \quad (28)$$

where $|\phi_k(x)| \leq \chi_{\{|x| > 1\}} \frac{N^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \frac{c_k (|\nu| N^2)^{-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\max(1, \frac{|x|}{8N|\nu|})^k}$. If $\nu < 0$ then just replace $\phi^{(2j)}(\frac{|x|}{2\nu N})$ with $\bar{\phi}^{(2j)}(-\frac{|x|}{2\nu N})$. We further assume that $\nu > 0$. Choosing $k \geq \frac{d+2}{2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\phi}_k\|_\infty &\leq \|\phi_k\|_1 \\ &= \int_{|x| \leq 8\nu N} |\phi_k| dx + \int_{|x| > 8\nu N} |\phi_k| dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{N} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where C depends only on d and q . We can ignore $\chi_{\{|x| > 1\}}$ in front of the sum in (28) because if $\frac{|x|}{2\nu N} \in [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$, then $|x| \geq \nu N \geq 1$. We will consider only the zero term in the sum. The other terms can be treated similarly. The Fourier transform of

$$\frac{N^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} e^{i2\pi \frac{|x|^2}{4\nu}} (\nu N^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi\left(\frac{|x|}{2\nu N}\right)$$

at point y is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} N^{\frac{d+1}{2}} (2\nu N)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} (\nu N^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(|x|) e^{i2\pi\nu N^2|x|^2} e^{-i2\pi 2\nu N x \cdot y} dx = \\ C(\nu N^2)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-i2\pi\nu|y|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(|x|) e^{i2\pi\nu N^2|x - \frac{y}{N}|^2} dx \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where $\psi(t) = \phi(t, 2\nu N t) t^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}$ is a Schwartz function supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ whose derivatives and the function itself are bounded uniformly in t , ν and N (see remark after (23)). The same is true about partial derivatives of $\psi(|x|)$. Applying the stationary phase method for $\mathbb{R}^d$ ([3], Theorem 7.7.3) we get

$$|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(|x|) e^{i2\pi\nu N^2 |x - \frac{y}{N}|^2} dx| \leq \begin{cases} C(\nu N^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}} & \text{if } N \in [\frac{|y|}{2}, 2|y|] \\ C_k(\nu N^2)^{-k-\frac{d}{2}} & \text{if } N \notin [\frac{|y|}{2}, 2|y|] \end{cases}. \quad (31)$$

Therefore the absolute value of (30) can be bounded from above by:

$$\leq \begin{cases} C & \text{if } N \in [\frac{|y|}{2}, 2|y|] \\ C_k(\nu N^2)^{-k} & \text{if } N \notin [\frac{|y|}{2}, 2|y|] \end{cases}. \quad (32)$$

Similar inequalities hold for Fourier transforms for the rest of the terms in the sum in (28). The number of dyadic $N \in [\frac{|y|}{2}, 2|y|]$ is bounded by 3. Using (29), choosing $k \geq 1$ in (32) and summing over all dyadic N we get

$$\sum_{l \geq 0} |\hat{D}_{2^l, \nu}(y)| \leq C \quad (33)$$

with C depending only on d and q , provided $\nu \neq 0$. Thus we proved (27).

Using (21) and (27) and interpolating between $p = 1$ and $p = 2$, we obtain

$$\|K_\nu * f\|_{p'} \leq C|\nu|^{-\alpha_p} \|f\|_p \quad (34)$$

where $\alpha_p = \frac{d}{2} \frac{2-p}{p}$. $\alpha_p > 1$ if $p < \frac{2d}{d+2}$. Summing (34) over all $\nu \neq 0$, we get the desired inequality

$$\sum_{\nu \neq 0} \|\sum_{l \geq 0} \hat{H}_{2^l, \nu}(y, \nu)\|_{p'} \leq C \|f\|_p.$$

□

Remark 2 *It is clear from the proof that we have the same inequality if the summation over $l \geq 0$ is replaced by summation over any subset of nonnegative integers.*

Now we are in a position to proceed with the proof of the theorem. Let $q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a fixed nonnegative Schwartz function supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ such that

$$q(t) + q(t/2) = 1$$

when $t \in [1, 2]$. It follows that

$$\sum_{l \geq 0} q(\frac{t}{2^l}) = 1 \quad (35)$$

when $t \geq 1$. Define

$$q_0(t) = 1 - \sum_{l \geq 0} q\left(\frac{t}{2^l}\right)$$

for $t \geq 0$. It is clear that $q_0(|x|)$ is a Schwartz function supported in $|x| \leq 1$. Let $\psi(t) = q_0(t) + q(t)$ then

$$\psi_k(t) = \psi\left(\frac{t}{2^k}\right) = q_0(t) + \sum_{l \geq 0}^k q\left(\frac{t}{2^l}\right)$$

and $\psi(|x|)$ is a Schwartz function supported in $|x| \leq 2$ such that $\psi(|x|) = 1$ if $|x| \leq 1$. Therefore

$$\int \hat{f}(x) e^{2\pi x \cdot y} \psi\left(\frac{|x|}{2^k}\right) dx = (f * \widehat{\psi_k})(y)$$

converges to f in L^p as $k \rightarrow \infty$. To prove that $f \in L^{p'}$ and $\|f\|_{p'} \lesssim \|f\|_p$ it will be enough to show that

$$\|f * \widehat{\psi_k}\|_{p'} \leq C \|f\|_p$$

since the claim will follow by an application of Fatou's lemma to a subsequence of $f * \widehat{\psi_k}$ converging a.e. to f .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (f * \widehat{\psi_k})(y) &= (f * \widehat{q_0})(y) + \sum_{l \geq 0}^k \int \hat{f}(x) e^{2\pi x \cdot y} q\left(\frac{|x|}{2^l}\right) dx \\ &= (f * \widehat{q_0})(y) + \sum_{l \geq 0}^k \int_0^\infty q\left(\frac{t}{2^l}\right) \int \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i2\pi y \cdot \xi} d\sigma_t(\xi) dt \\ &= (f * \widehat{q_0})(y) + \sum_{l \geq 0}^k \int_0^\infty q\left(\frac{t}{2^l}\right) h(y, t) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Applying Young's inequality we estimate the first term:

$$\|f * \widehat{q_0}\|_{p'} \lesssim \|f\|_p \tag{37}$$

for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Now we have to estimate the sum over l .

It is a well-known fact from Number Theory proven by Lagrange that every positive integer can be represented as sums of four squares ([2], p.25), moreover there exists an infinite arithmetic progression of positive integers,

e.g., $8n + 1$, which can be represented as sums of three squares ([2], p. 38). We will use only the latter fact. Therefore, rescaling we can assume that $\hat{f}$ vanishes on all spheres of radius $\sqrt{n+b}$ where n is a nonnegative integer and $0 < b < 1$ is a fixed number. Therefore $h(y, \sqrt{n+b}) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Making a change of variables and keeping in mind that q is supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ we re-write every term in the sum in the following way:

$$\int_0^\infty q\left(\frac{t}{N}\right)h(y, t)dt = \int \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t+b}}q\left(\frac{\sqrt{t+b}}{N}\right)h(y, \sqrt{t+b})dt.$$

An application of Poisson's summation formula gives us

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+b}}q\left(\frac{\sqrt{n+b}}{N}\right)h(y, \sqrt{n+b}) \\ &= \sum_\nu \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t+b}}q\left(\frac{\sqrt{t+b}}{N}\right)h(y, \sqrt{t+b}) \right)^\wedge(\nu) \\ &= \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+b}}q\left(\frac{\sqrt{t+b}}{N}\right)h(y, \sqrt{t+b})dt + \sum_{\nu \neq 0} \hat{H}_{1,N}(y, \nu) + \sum_{\nu \neq 0} \hat{H}_{2,N}(y, \nu) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_{i,N}(y, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+b}}q\left(\frac{\sqrt{t+b}}{N}\right)h_i(y, \sqrt{t+b}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Applying **Lemma 1** and **Lemma 2** with **Remark 2** we bound the sum:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{l \geq 0}^k \int_0^\infty q\left(\frac{t}{2^l}\right)h(y, t)dt \right\|_{p'} &\leq \sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{\nu \neq 0} \|\hat{H}_{1,2^l}(y, \nu)\|_{p'} + \sum_{\nu \neq 0} \left\| \sum_{l \geq 0}^k \hat{H}_{2,2^l}(y, \nu) \right\|_{p'} \\ &\leq C\|f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (36), (37) and the last inequality we obtain the desired result

$$\|f * \widehat{\psi_k}\|_{p'} \leq C\|f\|_p$$

from which the statement of the theorem follows. $\square$

Remark 3 We say that a function $f \in L^p$ has vanishing periodizations if there exists a sequence of Schwartz functions f_k with vanishing periodizations converging to f in L^p . It follows from **Theorem 1** that $f \in L^{p'}$ and f_k converge to f in $L^{p'}$ if dimension $d \geq 3$ and $1 \leq p < \frac{2d}{d+2}$.

3 Counterexamples and open questions

Theorem 1 does not say what happens when $d = 1$ and $d = 2$.

$d = 1$ is not an interesting case. We can easily construct examples of functions f with vanishing periodizations such that their L^p norms are not bounded by their L^q norms for any given pair of $p \neq q$.

When $d = 2$ **Theorem 1** does not hold. More precisely, **Lemma 3** below shows that if $1 \leq p < 2$ then the following inequality does not hold for functions with vanishing periodizations:

$$\|f\|_{p'} \lesssim \|f\|_p.$$

In this lemma we will deal with a sequence of functions f_n such that $\hat{f}_n$ vanish on all circles of radius $\sqrt{l^2 + k^2}$. Denote by X_2 the Banach space of functions from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ whose Fourier transforms vanish on all circles of radius $\sqrt{l^2 + k^2}$

$$X_2 = \{f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) : \hat{f}(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \text{ if } |\mathbf{r}| = \sqrt{l^2 + k^2}, (k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}.$$

The next lemma crucially depends on the following fact from the Number Theory ([2], p.22):

The number of integers in $[n, 2n]$ which can be represented as sums of two squares is $n\epsilon_n$ where $\epsilon_n \lesssim \frac{1}{\ln^{1/2} n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We only use the fact that $\lim \epsilon_n = 0$.

Lemma 3 *Let $1 \leq p < 2$ and $d = 2$ then there exists a sequence of Schwartz functions $f_n \in X_2$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|f_n\|_{p'}}{\|f_n\|_p} = \infty.$$

Proof of Lemma 3: Let $a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < \dots$ be the enumeration of numbers $a_m = \sqrt{l^2 + k^2}$ in ascending order. Denote $\delta_m = a_{m+1} - a_m$. As we already said the number of a_m in $[\sqrt{n}, 2\sqrt{n}]$ is $n\epsilon_n$. Let a_{m_0} and a_{m_1} be correspondingly the smallest and the largest such a_m . Then

$$\sum_{m=m_0}^{m_1-1} \delta_m = a_{m_1} - a_{m_0} \sim \sqrt{n}.$$

Let

$$\delta = \frac{C}{\sqrt{n\epsilon_n}} \tag{38}$$

with small enough constant $C > 0$ so that if

$$M = \{m, m_0 \leq m < m_1 : \delta_m \geq \delta\}$$

then

$$\sqrt{n} \lesssim \sum_{m \in M} \delta_m$$

since $m_1 - m_0 \sim n\epsilon_n$. Choose coordinate axes x and y . We will construct $\hat{f}_n$ supported in $\bigcup_{m \in M} R_m$ where R_m is a largest possible rectangle inscribed between circles of radius a_m and a_{m+1} with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. Then R_m is of size $\sim \delta_m \times \sqrt{\delta_m a_m} \gtrsim \delta_m \times \sqrt{\delta \sqrt{n}} \gtrsim \delta_m \times 1$. We will split each rectangle R_m further into smaller $\lceil \frac{\delta_m}{\delta} \rceil$ rectangles r of the same size $\sim \delta \times 1$. The number of these rectangles r is

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \sum_{m \in M} \left\lceil \frac{\delta_m}{\delta} \right\rceil \\ &\sim \sum_{m \in M} \frac{\delta_m}{\delta} \\ &\sim \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}\epsilon_n}} = n\epsilon_n \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

since $\delta_m \geq \delta$ for $m \in M$. Enumerate these rectangles r_k , $k = 1, \dots, N$. Let r_k be centered at $(\lambda_k, 0)$. It is clear that $|\lambda_k - \lambda_l| \geq \delta$ for $k \neq l$. Let ϕ be a nonnegative Schwartz function on $\mathbb{R}$ supported in $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. We have that $\check{\phi}(x) \geq C > 0$ when x is small enough. Define $\hat{f}_n$ as the following sum:

$$\hat{f}_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^N \phi\left(\frac{x - \lambda_k}{\delta}\right) \phi(y). \tag{40}$$

The k -th term in (40) is supported in r_k . Therefore, $\hat{f}_n$ is a Schwartz function supported in $\bigcup_{m \in M} R_m$. Hence $\hat{f}_n$ vanishes on all circles of radius a_l . Taking the inverse Fourier transform of (40), we get

$$f_n(\xi, \eta) = \delta \check{\phi}(\xi \delta) \check{\phi}(\eta) \sum_{k=1}^N e^{i\lambda_k \xi}. \tag{41}$$

Assume that $p' < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |f_n(\xi, \eta)|^{p'} d\xi d\eta &\geq \|\check{\phi}\|_{p'}^{p'} \delta^{p'} \int_{|\xi| \leq \frac{100-1}{\sqrt{n}}} |\check{\phi}(\xi\delta)|^{p'} \left| \sum_{k=1}^N e^{i\lambda_k \xi} \right|^{p'} d\xi \\
&\gtrsim \delta^{p'} N^{p'} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \\
&\sim (\sqrt{n})^{p'-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain the second inequality we used that

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^N e^{i\lambda_k \xi} \right| \geq \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \cos(\lambda_k \xi) \right| \gtrsim N$$

since $|\lambda_k \xi| \leq \frac{1}{50}$. We used (38) and (39) to obtain the last estimate. Therefore

$$\|f_n\|_{p'} \gtrsim (\sqrt{n})^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (42)$$

If $p' = \infty$ we can obtain in a similar way that

$$\|f_n\|_{\infty} \geq |f_n(0)| \gtrsim \sqrt{n}. \quad (43)$$

Now we will estimate the L^p norm from above. Denote

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N e^{i\frac{\lambda_k}{\delta} x}.$$

Since $|\frac{\lambda_k - \lambda_l}{\delta}| \geq \frac{\delta}{\delta} = 1$ for $k \neq l$ we have

$$\int_I |g|^2 \sim N$$

for any interval I of length 4π (see ([8], Theorem 9.1)). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_I |g|^p &\leq |I|^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \left(\int_I |g|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\lesssim N^{\frac{p}{2}}
\end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

for any interval I of length 4π . Since $\check{\phi}$ is a Schwartz function, we have that

$$|\check{\phi}(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{1+x^2}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |f_n(\xi, \eta)|^p d\xi d\eta &= \|\check{\phi}\|_p^p \delta^{p-1} \int |\check{\phi}(\xi)|^p \cdot \left| \sum_{k=1}^N e^{i\frac{\lambda_k}{\delta}\xi} \right|^p d\xi \\
&= C \delta^{p-1} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{l4\pi}^{(l+1)4\pi} |\check{\phi}(\xi)|^p \cdot |g(\xi)|^p d\xi \\
&\lesssim \delta^{p-1} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+l^2)^p} N^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
&\lesssim \sqrt{n} \epsilon_n^{1-\frac{p}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We used (38) and (39) to obtain the last estimate. Therefore

$$\|f_n\|_p \lesssim (\sqrt{n})^{\frac{1}{p}} \epsilon_n^{\frac{2-p}{2p}} \quad (45)$$

Dividing (42) by (45) we obtain the desired result

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|f_n\|_{p'}}{\|f_n\|_p} &\geq \frac{(\sqrt{n})^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(\sqrt{n})^{\frac{1}{p}} \epsilon_n^{\frac{2-p}{2p}}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\epsilon_n^{\frac{2-p}{2p}}} \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $p < 2$. □

Corollary 2 *There exists a function $f \in X_2$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(D(0,1))} = \infty.$$

It follows immediately from the lemma and (43) that if $p = 1$ then

$$\sup_{f \in X_2} \frac{\|f\|_{L^\infty(D(0,1))}}{\|f\|_1} = \infty.$$

We claim that there exists a function $f \in X_2$ such that $\|f\|_{L^\infty(D(0,1))} = \infty$. Suppose towards a contradiction that this is not true. Then the restriction operator

$$T : f \rightarrow f|_{D(0,1)}$$

maps X_2 to $L^\infty(D(0,1))$. Note that if $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L^1 and $f_n \rightarrow g$ in $L^\infty(D(0,1))$, then $f = g$ a.e. on $D(0,1)$. An application of the Closed Graph Theorem shows that T is a bounded operator acting from X_2 to $L^\infty(D(0,1))$.

This contradicts to the **Corollary 2**. Thus we proved our claim. $\square$

Obviously, this function f is not continuous. Therefore, it can serve as a counterexample to the theorem of M. Kolountzakis and T. Wolff ([5], Theorem 1) mentioned in **Introduction** when $d = 2$.

Remark 4 *However, it is not known whether the following inequality holds for $f \in X_2$:*

$$\|f\|_r \lesssim \|f\|_p$$

where $1 \leq p < 2$ and $p < r < p'$.

Now we will show that the range of r in **Corollary 1** is sharp. We need to check two cases: $r > p'$ and $r < p$. In the former case the argument will be similar to the one in the previous lemma. Therefore we will give only a sketch of the proof. We will deal with a sequence of functions f_n such that $\hat{f}_n$ vanish on all circles of radius $\sqrt{m_1^2 + \dots + m_d^2}$. Denote by X_d the Banach space of functions from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ whose Fourier transforms vanish on all circles of radius $\sqrt{m_1^2 + \dots + m_d^2}$

$$X_d = \{f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) : \hat{f}(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \text{ if } |\mathbf{r}| = \sqrt{m_1^2 + \dots + m_d^2}, (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$$

We will construct a sequence of Schwartz functions f_n with Fourier transforms supported outside of spheres of radius $\sqrt{m}$. Therefore these functions automatically belong to X_d .

Lemma 4 *Let $1 < p \leq 2$ and $r > p'$ then there exists a sequence of Schwartz functions $f_n \in X$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|f_n\|_r}{\|f_n\|_p} = \infty.$$

Proof of Lemma 4: A maximal rectangle inscribed between spheres of radius $\sqrt{n}$ and $\sqrt{n+1}$ has dimensions $\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \times 1 \times 1 \times \dots \times 1$. Let r_k be parallel identical rectangles inscribed between spheres of radius $\sqrt{n+k}$ and $\sqrt{n+k+1}$, where $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, with dimensions $\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \times 1 \times 1 \times \dots \times 1$ and centered at $(\lambda_k, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$. It is clear that $\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. Let ϕ be a nonnegative Schwartz function on $\mathbb{R}$ supported in $[-\frac{1}{100}, \frac{1}{100}]$. We have that $\check{\phi}(x) \geq C > 0$ when x is small enough. Define $\hat{f}_n$ as the following sum:

$$\hat{f}_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \phi((x_1 - \lambda_k)\sqrt{n}) \prod_{l=2}^d \phi(x_l). \quad (46)$$

The k -th term in (46) is supported in r_k . Therefore, $\hat{f}_n$ is a Schwartz function vanishing on all spheres of radius $\sqrt{m}$. Taking the inverse Fourier transform of (46), we get

$$f_n(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d) = \prod_{l=2}^d \check{\phi}(y_l) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \check{\phi}\left(\frac{y_1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{i\lambda_k y_1}. \quad (47)$$

Arguments analogous to those in **Lemma 3** show that

$$\|f_n\|_r \gtrsim (\sqrt{n})^{\frac{1}{r'}}$$

and

$$\|f_n\|_p \lesssim (\sqrt{n})^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\|f_n\|_r}{\|f_n\|_p} \gtrsim (\sqrt{n})^{\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{r}} \rightarrow \infty$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $r > p'$. □

The case when $r < p$ is very simple. Let

$$\hat{f}(x) = \phi\left(\frac{x - x_0}{\epsilon}\right)$$

where ϕ is a Schwartz function supported in $B^d(0, 1)$ so that $\hat{f}$ is supported in a small ball $B^d(x_0, \epsilon)$ placed between two fixed spheres of radius $\sqrt{n}$ and $\sqrt{n+1}$. Then $f(y) = \epsilon^d \check{\phi}(\epsilon y)$ and

$$\frac{\|f\|_r}{\|f\|_p} \sim \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{d}{r}}}{\epsilon^{\frac{d}{p}}} \rightarrow \infty$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ since $r < p$. Note that we didn't put any restriction on p here.

Now we will show that **Theorem 1** does not hold if $p > 2$. More precisely, let $p > 2$ and $r \neq p$ then the following inequality is not true for functions with vanishing periodizations:

$$\|f\|_r \lesssim \|f\|_p.$$

We just considered the case when $r < p$ therefore we need to consider only the case $r > p$. The argument is almost the same as in **Lemma 4**. We can construct a sequence of Schwartz functions f_n with Fourier transforms vanishing on all spheres of radius $\sqrt{m}$ and such that $\|f_n\|_r \gtrsim (\sqrt{n})^{\frac{1}{r'}}$ and $\|f_n\|_p \leq \|\hat{f}_n\|_{p'} \lesssim (\sqrt{n})^{\frac{1}{p'}}$. Therefore

$$\frac{\|f_n\|_r}{\|f_n\|_p} \gtrsim (\sqrt{n})^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark 5 Since **Theorem 1** trivially holds for $p = 2$ it is natural to expect that it should hold for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. It is unknown whether the **Theorem 1** holds for $\frac{2d}{d+2} \leq p < 2$.

Another interesting question is whether the following is true:

$$\|\hat{f}\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p \quad (48)$$

for some range of $p < 2$ if f has vanishing periodizations. It would then follow that

$$\|\hat{f}\|_r \lesssim \|f\|_p \quad (49)$$

for $p \leq r \leq p'$. All we know from **Theorem 1** is that (49) holds when $2 \leq r \leq p'$, $1 \leq p < \frac{2d}{d+2}$ and $d \geq 3$ since $\|f\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_p$.

Our final open question is whether the following inequalities are true for functions with not necessarily vanishing periodizations g_ρ :

$$\|f\|_{p'} \lesssim \|f\|_p + \|g\|_{p'}$$

and

$$\|g\|_{p'} \lesssim \|f\|_p + \|f\|_{p'}$$

for some range of $p \leq \frac{2d}{d+1}$ where

$$\|g\|_{p'} = \left(\int_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho\|_{p'}^p d\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

References

- [1] K.M. Davis, Y.-C. Chang, *Lectures on Bochner-Riesz means*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [2] E. Grosswald, *Representations of integers as sums of squares*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [3] L. Hormander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

- [4] M. Kolountzakis, *A new estimate for a problem of Steinhaus*, International Mathematics Research Notes, 1996, No. 11, 547-555.
- [5] M. Kolountzakis, T. Wolff, *On the Steinhaus tiling problem*, Mathe-
matika, 46 (1999), no. 2, 253 - 280.
- [6] O. Kovrijkine, *On the L^2 -norm of periodizations of functions*, Interna-
tional Mathematics Research Notices, 2001, 19, 1003-1025.
- [7] O. Kovrijkine, *Some estimates of Fourier transforms*, Ph.D. thesis, Cal-
tech, 2000.
- [8] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, v. I and II, Cambridge University
Press, New York, 1968.